The Effect of Local Fiber Model **On Population Studies**



James Malcolm, Marek Kubicki, Martha Shenton, Yogesh Rathi Psychiatry Neuroimaging Lab, Harvard Medical School

Overview

Most common voxel model in population studies is the single diffusion tensor.

Problem:

Single tensor known to be poor fit in regions of crossing and branching.

Method:

Experiments

Before looking at in vivo, explore the differences we might expect to see between the two models. Use synthetic tractography, compare to groundtruth.

Experiment: fixed eigenvalues, vary crossing angle

Result: single-tensor underestimates FA up to 0.3 in 90 crossing while two-tensor provides accurate and consistent estimates



Perform same study with single-tensor and twotensor models.

Result:

Two-tensor model appears more sensitive to population differences.

Method

Summary: Select three paired regions of cortex. Run two-tensor filtered tractography to find inter-hemispheric connections (see other poster). Fit single-tensor model along those same paths. Perform tract-based study. Compare areas of significance reported by each model.

Single-Tensor Model:

$$S(\boldsymbol{u}) = s_0 e^{-b \boldsymbol{u}^T D \boldsymbol{u}} \qquad D = \lambda_1 \boldsymbol{m} \boldsymbol{m}^T + \lambda_2 \boldsymbol{p} \boldsymbol{p}^T + \lambda_3 \boldsymbol{q} \boldsymbol{q}^T$$

Two-Tensor Model: filtered tractography (see other poster) $S(u) = 0.5 s_0 e^{-b u^T D_1 u} + 0.5 s_0 e^{-b u^T D_2 u}$ $D_1 = \lambda_{11} \boldsymbol{m}_1 \boldsymbol{m}_1^T + \lambda_{21} (\boldsymbol{p} \boldsymbol{p}^T + \boldsymbol{q} \boldsymbol{q}^T)$

Experiment: fixed angle, vary eigenvalues *Result:* single-tensor underestimates FA up to 0.3 in 90 crossing while two-tensor provides accurate and consistent estimates



Results

Experiment: look at FA superimposed on two-tensor fibers *Result:* single-tensor FA drops outside corpus callosum while twotensor maintains higher FA on out to gray-matter





Regions and Fibers: fibers from two-tensor filtered tractography







Tract-based study: arc-length parameterization



Experiment: caudalmiddle central, statistical analysis as function of arc-length (FA, trace, radial diffusivity) *Result:* two-tensor (red) detects differences near the branching, poor fit of single-tensor (blue) misses this





Experiment: precentral region, statistical analysis as function of arc-length (FA, trace, radial diffusivity) *Result:* neither method finds consistent differences





Experiment: superiorfrontal region, statistical analysis as function of arc-length (FA, trace, radial diffusivity) *Result:* both methods find differences along sides of corpus callosum where less crossing/branching occrs

